







## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                             | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/751,945                                  | 12/29/2000      | Jiandong Huang       | 256.078US1              | 7950            |
| 21186 7                                     | 7590 03/12/2004 | EXAMINER             |                         |                 |
| SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. |                 |                      | JAROENCHONWANIT, BUNJOB |                 |
| P.O. BOX 2938<br>MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402      |                 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
|   | •               |                      | 2143                    | 1 41            |
|   |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/12/2004 | 14              |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)





|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Notice of Abandanmant  | 09/751,945  | HUANG ET AL.   |  |  |
| Notice of Abandonment  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |
|  | Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit  | 2143   |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication   |   | · <del></del>  |  |  |
| This application is abandoned in view of:  |   |  |  |  |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the     (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certifical     period for reply (including a total extension of tile)                                       | te of Mailing or Transmission dated<br>me of month(s)) which expired on _ | ·  |  |  |
| (b) ⊠ A proposed reply was received on <u>28 August 2</u> rejection.   | 003, but it does not constitute a proper rep                              | ly under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final                       |  |  |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a time Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with  | ly filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);                              |  |  |  |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). |   |  |  |  |
| (d) ☐ No reply has been received.  |   |  |  |  |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (P   |   | the statutory period of three months                         |  |  |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable), which is after the expiration of the statue Allowance (PTOL-85).  |   |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A b   | palance of \$ is due.   |  |  |  |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$  |   |  |  |  |
| (c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable,  | has not been received.  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings a<br/>Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>   | as required by, and within the three-month                                | period set in, the Notice of                                 |  |  |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.   |   |  |  |  |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  |   |  |  |  |
| 4.  The letter of express abandonment which is signed the applicants.  | by the attorney or agent of record, the ass                               | signee of the entire interest, or all of                     |  |  |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.   | I by an attorney or agent (acting in a repre                              | sentative capacity under 37 CFR                              |  |  |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and In of the decision has expired and there are no allower   |   | se the period for seeking court review                       |  |  |
| 7. ☐ The reason(s) below:  |   | Λ  |  |  |
|  | (   | Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit<br>Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 2143 |  |  |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.             |   |  |  |  |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office   | otice of Abandonment  | Part of Paper No. 14   |  |  |